

Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Agenda
Item No.

Report of Corporate Director for Place
to
**Traffic & Parking Working Party and
Cabinet Committee**
on
30th May 2013

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry
Team Leader, Parking, Traffic Management and Road Safety

Requests for New or Amended Waiting Restrictions
Portfolio Holder – Councillor Tony Cox
A Part 1 Public Agenda Item

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to authorise the advertisement of the amendments and/or new restrictions in accordance with the statutory processes.

2. Recommendation

2.1. That the Traffic and Parking Working Party and the Cabinet Committee:-

a) Consider the requests to advertise the requisite Traffic Regulation Orders as shown in appendix 1;

b) If approved, further agree that in the event of there being no objections to the proposals, the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed;

c) Note that all unresolved objections will be referred to the Traffic and Parking Working Party for consideration.

3. Background

- 3.1 Requests for new or amendments to existing waiting restrictions are regularly received from residents and the businesses.

- 3.2 All requests are assessed and investigated against the agreed criteria contained in Appendix 1 to this report which was approved by the Working Party and the Cabinet Committee at their meeting in July 2011. All new requests are considered against this criterion and the officer recommendations are based on this for the committee's consideration.

- 3.3 Each request indicates the source of the complaint/query.

4. Other Options

4.1 Each request needs to be considered on individual merit and their impact on public safety, traffic flows or parking. Members may consider taking no further action if they feel it is appropriate.

5. Reasons for Recommendations

5.1 Where recommended the objective is to mitigate for likelihood of traffic flows being impeded, to improve safety or increase parking availability.

6. Corporate Implications

6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities

6.1.1 Ensure the traffic network is effectively and safely managed.

6.2 Financial Implications

6.2.1 Where recommended, the source of funding and other financial implications are highlighted as appropriate.

6.3 Legal Implications

6.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process will be completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation where applicable.

6.4 People Implications

6.4.1 Staff time will be prioritised as needed to investigate, organise the advertisement procedures and monitor the progress of the proposals based on the committee priorities.

6.5 Property Implications

6.5.1 None

6.6 Consultation

6.6.1 Formal consultation will be undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street as appropriate

6.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications

6.7.1 Neutral

6.8 Risk Assessment

6.8.1 Neutral

6.9 Value for Money

6.9.1 All works resulting from the scheme design are to be undertaken by term contractors appointed through a competitive tendering process.

6.10 Community Safety Implications

6.10.1 All proposals are designed to maximise community safety through design, implementation and monitoring.

6.11 Environmental Impact

6.11.1 All proposals are designed and implemented to ensure relevant environmental benefits are attained through the use of appropriate materials and electrical

equipment to save energy and contribute towards the Carbon Reduction targets where appropriate.

7. Background papers

Nil

8. Appendices

Appendix 1 – List of requests and comments

APPENDIX 1 – WAITING RESTRICTIONS REQUESTS

AGREED CRITERIA FOR WAITING RESTRICTIONS(JULY 2011)

- (a) Such restrictions may only be considered along roads with road classification including and above local distributor routes, as defined in Appendix 2 of the report (as taken from the Local Transport Plan);
- (b) There is demonstrable evidence through accident analysis that there have been at least 3 personal injury accidents during the last three years resulting from adverse and/or indiscriminate parking in the vicinity.
- (c) Waiting and loading restrictions may not be introduced in isolated residential streets unless there are pedestrian and traffic safety issues demonstrated through the accident statistics (as in (b) above).
- (d) Where high traffic volume and flow is affected by parked vehicles.
- (e) The location is a junction.

Location	Request Details	Criteria Points	Officer comments
Bristol Road and Wilmott Road	Propose junction protection	E	Location is subject to verge damage as refuse freighter often obstructed from maneuvering due to parked vehicles- recommended
London Road near Darlington Grove	Propose limited waiting parking bay	Not applicable	Area currently unrestricted and used by motor traders – recommended.
Suffolk Avenue	Small parking area near junction, parking opposite prevents vehicles exiting from parking area. Mainly school pupils – suggested 9.30 to 4.30 restriction opp parking area	Does not meet criteria	Parking is obstructive and partially on footway as carriageway too narrow. Recommended
Groveswood Avenue	Reduce lines in dead end	Not Applicable	Extensive lines in place which could be reduced without compromising

Location	Request Details	Criteria Points	Officer comments
			vehicles turnings- Recommended
Saxon Gardens	A number of parking areas within road, vehicles regularly park to junction of accesses	E	Accesses lead to a number of places and are constructed at junctions. Visibility is impeded- recommended
Bonchurch Avenue junctions – Eastwood Road, Flemming Avenue, Tankerville Drive, Kingswood Chase, St Clements Drive, Station Road	Propose junction protection	E	A number of these junctions are crossroads and protection will increase visibility- recommended
Scarborough Drive near London Road	Remove double yellow lines and replace with short term limited waiting	Not Applicable	Lines could be safely removed and provide 20 minute waiting to assist traders- recommended
Hamlet Court Road	Near 134 – provide disabled parking bay	Not applicable	Age Concern moved to new premises and believe disabled drivers will visit and require space- recommended